UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Charles Langone,			
Plaintif	ff		
		CIVIL ACTION:04	l-12001-NMG
V.			
Pytko Construction Corp.,			
Defend			
	ORDER OF RE	<u></u>	
	FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPU		
After consultation with	counsel and after conside	ration of the various alternative dispu	te resolution
programs (ADR) available, I find	d this matter appropriate	for ADR and accordingly, refer this c	ase to <u>ADR</u>
provider for the following ADR	program:		
EARLY NEUT	RAL EVALUATION	X MEDIATION	
MINI-TRIAL		SUMMARY JURY TRIAL	
SETTLEMENT	CONFERENCE	SPECIAL MASTER	
		RESOLUTION PROGRAM	
FRIVATE ALT	EKNATIVE DISFUTE F	RESOLUTION FROGRAM	
-	-	d for the proceeding, counsel are direct authority and to provide any written do	
which may be required for the p	articular ADR program.	If counsel is engaged on trial or has	any
scheduling conflict and a continu	ance becomes necessary,	the provider of the ADR program and	l other counsel
should be advised of the conflict	immediately.		
	·	NATHANIEL M. GORTON	
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
		CIVILED STATES DISTRICT SUDV	3L
6/17/05		By: /S/ Craig J. Nicewicz	
DATE			
	CASE CATE	EGORY	
A.1. * 14		A	
Admiralty Civil Rights		Antitrust	
Copyright/Trademark/Patent		Contract Environmental	
ERISA	<u>x</u>	FELA	
Labor Relations	<u>A</u>	Medical Malpractice	
Personal Injury		Product Liability	
Shareholder Dispute			
Other	As soon as feasible		